arXiv:1403.4098v2 [math.OA] 19 Mar 2015

GAMMA STABILITY IN FREE PRODUCT VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

CYRIL HOUDAYER

Abstract. Let $(M,\varphi) = (M_1,\varphi_1) * (M_2,\varphi_2)$ be a free product of arbitrary von Neumann algebras endowed with faithful normal states. Assume that the centralizer $M_1^{\varphi_1}$ is diffuse. We first show that any intermediate subalgebra $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$ which has nontrivial central sequences in M is necessarily equal to M_1. Then we obtain a general structural result for all the intermediate subalgebras $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$ with expectation. We deduce that any diffuse amenable von Neumann algebra can be concretely realized as a maximal amenable subalgebra with expectation inside a full nonamenable type III_1 factor. This provides the first class of concrete maximal amenable subalgebras in the framework of type III factors. We finally strengthen all these results in the case of tracial free product von Neumann algebras.

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

A von Neumann algebra $M \subset \mathbf{B}(H)$ (with separable predual) is *amenable* if there exists a norm one projection $E : \mathbf{B}(H) \to M$. By Connes' celebrated result [Co75b], all the amenable von Neumann algebras are *hyperfinite*. Moreover, the amenable or hyperfinite factors are completely classified by their flows of weights (see [Co72, Co75b, Co85, Ha84]). In particular, there is a unique amenable II_1 factor [Co75b]: it is the hyperfinite II_1 factor of Murray and von Neumann [MvN43].

Since the amenable von Neumann algebras form a monotone class, any von Neumann algebra admits maximal amenable subalgebras. The first concrete examples of maximal amenable subalgebras inside II_1 factors were obtained by Popa in [Po83]. He showed that any *generator* masa A in a free group factor $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{F}_n)$ with $n \geq 2$ is maximal amenable. This result answered in the negative a question raised by Kadison. Indeed, $A \subset \mathrm{L}(\mathbf{F}_n)$ is an abelian subalgebra generated by a selfadjoint operator and yet there is no intermediate hyperfinite subfactor in $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{F}_n)$ which contains A as a subalgebra. Popa discovered in [Po83] a powerful method to prove that a given amenable subalgebra is maximal amenable inside an ambient II_1 factor. Using this strategy for the generator masa $A \subset \mathrm{L}(\mathbf{F}_n)$, he first showed that A satisfies a certain *asymptotic orthogonality property* and then deduced that A is maximal amenable in $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{F}_n)$ using various *mixing* techniques. His results actually showed that the generator masa A is maximal Gamma inside $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{F}_n)$. Recall that a II_1 factor M (with separable predual) has *property Gamma* of Murray and von Neumann [MvN43] if there exists a sequence of unitaries $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(u_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|xu_n - u_n x\|_2 = 0$ for all $x \in M$.

Subsequently, Cameron, Fang, Ravichandran and White proved in [CFRW08] that the *radial* masa in a free group factor $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{F}_n)$ with $2 \leq n < \infty$ is maximal amenable. Recently, the author vastly generalized in [Ho12a, Ho12b] Popa's results from [Po83] and obtained many new examples of maximal amenable subalgebras inside the crossed product II_1 factors associated with free Bogoljubov actions of amenable groups. Very recently, Boutonnet and Carderi showed in [BC13] that any infinite maximal amenable subgroup Λ in a Gromov word-hyperbolic group Γ gives rise to a maximal amenable subalgebra $\mathrm{L}(\Lambda)$ inside the group von Neumann algebra

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L10; 46L54.

Key words and phrases. Free product von Neumann algebras; Ultraproduct von Neumann algebras; Amenable von Neumann algebras; Type III factors; Property Gamma; Asymptotic orthogonality property.

Research supported by ANR grant NEUMANN.

$\mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$. For other related results regarding maximal amenability in the framework of II_1 factors, we refer the reader to [Br12, Fa06, Ga09, Ge95, Jo10, Po13, Sh05].

In this paper, we obtain new results regarding maximal amenability and Gamma stability for subalgebras of free products of *arbitrary* von Neumann algebras. We will be particularly interested in the structure of free product type III factors. Before stating our main results, we first introduce some terminology. Recall that a von Neumann algebra M is *diffuse* if M has no minimal projection. We say that a von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset M$ is *with expectation* if there exists a faithful normal conditional expectation $E_Q : M \to Q$. Let now $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$ be a non-principal ultrafilter. We say that a von Neumann algebra M has *property Gamma* if the central sequence algebra $M' \cap M^\omega$ is diffuse. Observe that in the case when M is a II_1 factor with separable predual, this definition is equivalent to the property Gamma of Murray and von Neumann [MvN43] (see e.g. [Co74, Corollary 3.8]).

Our first main result deals with Gamma stability inside arbitrary free product von Neumann algebras $(M, \varphi) = (M_1, \varphi_1) * (M_2, \varphi_2)$. We show in Theorem A that the subalgebra $M_1 \subset M$ sits in a very rigid position with respect to taking central sequences inside M.

Theorem A. *Let (M_1, φ_1) and (M_2, φ_2) be σ-finite von Neumann algebras endowed with faithful normal states. Assume that the centralizer $M_1^{\varphi_1}$ is diffuse. Denote by $(M, \varphi) = (M_1, \varphi_1) * (M_2, \varphi_2)$ the free product von Neumann algebra.*

Then the inclusion $M_1 \subset M$ is Gamma stable *in the following sense: for every intermediate von Neumann subalgebra $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$ such that $Q' \cap M^\omega$ is diffuse, we have $Q = M_1$.*

It is worth noticing that in the statement of Theorem A, the intermediate subalgebra $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$ is not assumed *a priori* to be with expectation in M. The proof of Theorem A is based on a key result (see Theorem 3.1) which is a generalization of Popa's result [Po83, Lemma 2.1] regarding asymptotic orthogonality for free group factors to arbitrary free product von Neumann algebras. The proof uses Popa's original method together with ε-orthogonality techniques from [Ho12a, Ho12b].

In order to obtain structural results for the intermediate subalgebras $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$, we will next assume that Q is with expectation in M in the statement of Corollary B. Recall that a factor M (with separable predual) is *full* if its asymptotic centralizer M_ω is trivial (see [Co74]). Observe that by [AH12, Theorem 5.3], this is equivalent to $M' \cap M^\omega = \mathbf{C}$.

Corollary B. *Let (M_1, φ_1) and (M_2, φ_2) be von Neumann algebras with separable predual endowed with faithful normal states. Assume that the centralizer $M_1^{\varphi_1}$ is diffuse. Denote by $(M, \varphi) = (M_1, \varphi_1) * (M_2, \varphi_2)$ the free product von Neumann algebra.*

Then any intermediate von Neumann subalgebra $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$ with faithful normal conditional expectation $E_Q : M \to Q$ is globally invariant under the modular automorphism group (σ_t^φ). Moreover, there exists a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections $z_n \in Q' \cap M \subset \mathcal{Z}(M_1)$ such that $\sum_n z_n = 1$ and

- *$M_1 z_0 = Q z_0$ and*
- *$Q z_n$ is a full nonamenable factor such that $(Q z_n)' \cap (z_n M z_n)^\omega = \mathbf{C} z_n$ for every $n \geq 1$.*

Corollary B generalizes and strengthens [Po83, Lemma 3.1] and [Ge95, Lemma 4.4]. Corollary B moreover implies that if M_1 has property Gamma, then $M_1 \subset M$ is a maximal Gamma subalgebra with expectation in M. The structural result in Corollary B allows us to obtain a wide range of maximal amenable subalgebras inside nonamenable factors. In particular, Corollary C below provides the first class of concrete maximal amenable subalgebras with expectation in the framework of type III factors.

Corollary C. *Any diffuse amenable von Neumann algebra with separable predual can be concretely realized as a maximal amenable subalgebra with expectation inside a full nonamenable type* III_1 *factor.*

Our main last result deals with Gamma stability for subalgebras of *tracial* free product von Neumann algebras. Theorem D below is a further generalization of Corollary B where the subalgebra $Q \subset M$ is only assumed to have a diffuse intersection with M_1.

Theorem D. *Let* (M_1, τ_1) *and* (M_2, τ_2) *be von Neumann algebras with separable predual endowed with faithful normal tracial states. Assume that* M_1 *is diffuse. Denote by* $(M, \tau) = (M_1, \tau_1) * (M_2, \tau_2)$ *the tracial free product von Neumann algebra.*

Then for every von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset M$ *such that* $Q \cap M_1$ *is diffuse, there exists a central projection* $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M) \cap \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M^\omega) \subset M_1$ *such that*

- $Qz \subset zM_1z$ *and*
- $(Q' \cap M^\omega)(1-z) = (Q' \cap M)(1-z)$ *is discrete.*

Theorem D shows in particular that whenever $Q \subset M$ is a subalgebra such that both $Q \cap M_1$ and $Q' \cap M^\omega$ are diffuse, then $Q \subset M_1$ (see Theorem 4.1). This is a strengthening of the Gamma stability result in Theorem A. Besides the asymptotic orthogonality property obtained in Theorem 3.1, the proof of Theorem D uses two more ingredients of II_1 factors: Popa's intertwining techniques [Po01, Po03] and Peterson's L^2-rigidity results for tracial free product von Neumann algebras [Pe06].

In Section 2, we recall a few preliminaires on free product and ultraproduct von Neumann algebras. In Section 3, we prove the key result regarding asymptotic orthogonality inside free products of arbitrary von Neumann algebras. Finally, we prove in Section 4 the main results of the paper.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Rémi Boutonnet and Sven Raum for their valuable comments regarding a first draft of this manuscript. I especially thank Rémi for pointing out a gap in the initial proof of Proposition 2.5. Finally, I thank the referee for carefully reading the paper and useful remarks.

2. Preliminaries

We fix once and for all a non-principal ultrafilter $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$. All the von Neumann algebras that we consider in this paper are assumed to be σ-*finite*, that is, countably decomposable. We say that M is a *tracial* von Neumann algebra if M admits a faithful normal tracial state τ.

Background on σ-finite von Neumann algebras. Let M be any σ-finite von Neumann algebra. We denote by $\mathrm{Ball}(M)$ the unit ball of M with respect to the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, $\mathcal{U}(M)$ the group of unitaries in M and $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ the center of M. Let $\varphi \in M_*$ be a faithful normal state. We denote by $\mathrm{L}^2(M, \varphi)$ (or simply $\mathrm{L}^2(M)$ when no confusion is possible) the GNS L^2-completion of M with respect to the inner product defined by $\langle x, y\rangle_\varphi = \varphi(y^*x)$ for all $x, y \in M$. We denote by $\Lambda_\varphi : M \to \mathrm{L}^2(M) : x \mapsto \Lambda_\varphi(x)$ the canonical embedding and by $J_\varphi : \mathrm{L}^2(M) \to \mathrm{L}^2(M)$ the canonical conjugation. We have $x\Lambda_\varphi(y) = \Lambda_\varphi(xy)$ for all $x, y \in M$.

We say that two elements $x, y \in M$ are φ-*orthogonal* in M if $\varphi(y^*x) = 0$ or equivalently if the vectors $\Lambda_\varphi(x)$ and $\Lambda_\varphi(y)$ are orthogonal in the Hilbert space $\mathrm{L}^2(M)$. For all $x \in M$, write $\|x\|_\varphi = \varphi(x^*x)^{1/2}$ and $\|x\|_\varphi^\sharp = \varphi(x^*x + xx^*)^{1/2}$. Recall that the strong (resp. $*$-strong) topology on uniformly bounded subsets of M coincides with the topology defined by $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\sharp$).

An element $x \in M$ is said to be *analytic* with respect to the modular automorphism group (σ_t^φ) if the function $\mathbf{R} \to M : t \mapsto \sigma_t^\varphi(x)$ can be extended to an M-valued entire analytic function over $\mathbf{C}$.

We will be using the following standard facts.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (M, φ) be any σ-finite von Neumann algebra endowed with a faithful normal state.*

(1) *The subset $\mathcal{A} \subset M$ of all the elements in M which are analytic with respect to the modular automorphism group (σ_t^φ) forms a unital σ-strongly dense $*$-subalgebra of M.*
(2) *For all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $x \in M$, we have*
$$\Lambda_\varphi(xa) = J_\varphi \sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(a^*) J_\varphi \, \Lambda_\varphi(x).$$
(3) *For all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $x \in M$, we have*
$$\varphi(ax) = \varphi(x\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}}(a)).$$
In particular, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $x, y \in M$, we have that xa and y are φ-orthogonal in M if and only if x and $y\sigma^\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(a)^*$ are φ-orthogonal in M.

Proof. (1) follows from [Ta03, Lemma VIII.2.3] and (2) follows from [Ta03, Lemma VIII.3.10]. Let us prove (3). For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $x \in M$, we have
$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}}(a)) &= \langle \Lambda_\varphi(x\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}}(a)), \Lambda_\varphi(1)\rangle_\varphi \\
&= \langle J_\varphi \sigma^\varphi_{\mathrm{i}/2}(a^*) J_\varphi \, \Lambda_\varphi(x), \Lambda_\varphi(1)\rangle_\varphi \\
&= \langle \Lambda_\varphi(x), J_\varphi \sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(a) J_\varphi \, \Lambda_\varphi(1)\rangle_\varphi \\
&= \langle \Lambda_\varphi(x), \Lambda_\varphi(a^*)\rangle_\varphi \\
&= \varphi(ax).
\end{aligned}$$
In particular, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $x, y \in M$, we have
$$\varphi((y\sigma^\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(a)^*)^* \, x) = \varphi(\sigma^\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(a) \, y^* x) = \varphi(y^* \, xa).$$
Hence xa and y are φ-orthogonal in M if and only if x and $y\sigma^\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(a)^*$ are φ-orthogonal in M. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let M be any σ-finite von Neumann algebra.*

(1) *We have that M is diffuse if and only if there exists a faithful normal state $\varphi \in M_*$ such that the centralizer M^φ is diffuse. Moreover in that case, there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{U}(M^\varphi)$ such that $u^k \to 0$ weakly as $|k| \to \infty$.*
(2) *Let $N \subset M$ be a von Neumann subalgebra with expectation. If N is diffuse, so is M.*

Proof. (1) Assume first that M is diffuse. There exists a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections $z_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ such that $\sum_n z_n = 1$, Mz_0 is a von Neumann algebra with a diffuse center and Mz_n is a diffuse factor for every $n \geq 1$. Choose any faithful normal state φ_0 on Mz_0. By [HS90, Theorem 11.1], for every $n \geq 1$, choose a faithful normal state φ_n on Mz_n such that the centralizer $(Mz_n)^{\varphi_n}$ is diffuse. Let $(a_n)_n$ be a sequence of positive reals so that $\sum_n a_n = 1$. The formula $\varphi = \sum_n a_n \varphi_n$ defines a faithful normal state on M such that
$$M^\varphi = \bigoplus_n (Mz_n)^{\varphi_n}.$$
Therefore, M^φ is diffuse.

Assume next that M^φ is diffuse for some faithful normal state $\varphi \in M_*$. Using the above decomposition, for every $n \geq 1$ such that $z_n \neq 0$, letting $\varphi_n = \frac{1}{\varphi(z_n)} \varphi(\cdot z_n)$, we have that $(Mz_n)^{\varphi_n} = M^\varphi z_n$ is diffuse. Therefore Mz_n is a non-type I factor and so is diffuse. Thus, M is diffuse.

When M^φ is diffuse, take $A \subset M^\varphi$ a maximal abelian subalgebra. Then A is necessarily diffuse. Then choose a diffuse subalgebra $B \subset A$ with separable predual. Since $B \cong \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbf{T})$, we can then take a unitary $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ such that $u^k \to 0$ weakly as $|k| \to \infty$.

(2) Denote by $E : M \to N$ a faithful normal conditional expectation and choose a faithful normal state $\psi \in N_*$ such that N^ψ is diffuse. Then $\varphi = \psi \circ E$ is a faithful normal state on M such that $N^\psi \subset M^\varphi$. Since N^ψ is diffuse and M^φ is tracial, M^φ is diffuse and so is M by item (1) of the proposition. □

Free product von Neumann algebras. For $i = 1, 2$, let (M_i, φ_i) be any σ-finite von Neumann algebra endowed with a faithful normal state. The *free product von Neumann algebra* $(M, \varphi) = (M_1, \varphi_1) * (M_2, \varphi_2)$ is the von Neumann algebra M generated by M_1 and M_2 where the faithful normal state φ satisfies the following *freeness condition*:

$$\varphi(x_1 \cdots x_n) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad x_j \in M_{i_j} \ominus \mathbf{C} \quad \text{and} \quad i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n.$$

Here and in what follows, we denote by $M_i \ominus \mathbf{C} = \ker(\varphi_i)$. We refer to the product $x_1 \cdots x_n$ where $x_j \in M_{i_j} \ominus \mathbf{C}$ and $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n$ as a *reduced word* in $(M_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C})$ of *length* $n \geq 1$. The linear span of 1 and of all the reduced words in $(M_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C})$ where $n \geq 1$ and $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n$ forms a unital σ-strongly dense $*$-subalgebra of M.

For all $n \geq 1$ and all $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n$, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L}^2((M_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C}), \varphi) &\to \mathrm{L}^2(M_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}, \varphi_{i_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{L}^2(M_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C}, \varphi_{i_n}) \\ \Lambda_\varphi(x_1 \cdots x_n) &\mapsto \Lambda_{\varphi_{i_1}}(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda_{\varphi_{i_n}}(x_n) \end{aligned}$$

defines a unitary operator. Moreover, we have

$$\mathrm{L}^2(M, \varphi) = \mathbf{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigoplus_{i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n} \mathrm{L}^2(M_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}, \varphi_{i_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{L}^2(M_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C}, \varphi_{i_n}).$$

For all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $\sigma_t^\varphi = \sigma_t^{\varphi_1} * \sigma_t^{\varphi_2}$ (see [Ba93, Lemma 1] and [Dy92, Theorem 1]). By [Ta03, Theorem IX.4.2], there exists a unique φ-preserving faithful normal conditional expectation $E_{M_1} : M \to M_1$. Moreover, we have $E_{M_1}(x_1 \cdots x_n) = 0$ for all the reduced words $x_1 \cdots x_n$ which contains at least one letter from $M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}$ (see [Ue11, Lemma 2.1]). For more on free product von Neumann algebras, we refer the reader to [Ue98, Ue11, Vo85, Vo92].

Ultraproduct von Neumann algebras. Let M be any σ-finite von Neumann algebra. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^\omega(M) &= \{(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N}, M) : x_n \to 0 * -\text{strongly as } n \to \omega\} \\ \mathcal{M}^\omega(M) &= \{(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N}, M) : (x_n)_n \, \mathcal{I}^\omega(M) \subset \mathcal{I}^\omega(M) \text{ and } \mathcal{I}^\omega(M) \, (x_n)_n \subset \mathcal{I}^\omega(M)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that the *multiplier algebra* $\mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ is a C*-algebra and $\mathcal{I}^\omega(M) \subset \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ is a norm closed two-sided ideal. Following [Oc85], we define the *ultraproduct von Neumann algebra* M^ω by $M^\omega = \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)/\mathcal{I}^\omega(M)$. We denote the image of $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ by $(x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$.

For all $x \in M$, the constant sequence $(x)_n$ lies in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. We will then identify M with $(M + \mathcal{I}^\omega(M))/\mathcal{I}^\omega(M)$ and regard $M \subset M^\omega$ as a von Neumann subalgebra. The map $E_M : M^\omega \to M : (x_n)^\omega \mapsto \sigma\text{-weak} \lim_{n \to \omega} x_n$ is a faithful normal conditional expectation. For every faithful normal state $\varphi \in M_*$, the formula $\varphi^\omega = \varphi \circ E_M$ defines a faithful normal state on M^ω. Observe that $\varphi^\omega((x_n)^\omega) = \lim_{n \to \omega} \varphi(x_n)$ for all $(x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$.

Let $Q \subset M$ be any von Neumann subalgebra with faithful normal conditional expectation $E_Q : M \to Q$. Choose a faithful normal state φ on Q and still denote by φ the faithful normal state $\varphi \circ E_Q$ on M. We have $\ell^\infty(\mathbf{N}, Q) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N}, M)$, $\mathcal{I}^\omega(Q) \subset \mathcal{I}^\omega(M)$ and $\mathcal{M}^\omega(Q) \subset \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. We will then identify $Q^\omega = \mathcal{M}^\omega(Q)/\mathcal{I}^\omega(Q)$ with $(\mathcal{M}^\omega(Q) + \mathcal{I}^\omega(M))/\mathcal{I}^\omega(M)$ and regard $Q^\omega \subset M^\omega$ as a von Neumann subalgebra. Observe that the norm $\| \cdot \|_{(\varphi|Q)^\omega}$ on Q^ω is

the restriction of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi^\omega}$ to Q^ω. Observe moreover that $(E_Q(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{I}^\omega(Q)$ for all $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}^\omega(M)$ and $(E_Q(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(Q)$ for all $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. Therefore, the mapping $E_{Q^\omega} : M^\omega \to Q^\omega : (x_n)^\omega \mapsto (E_Q(x_n))^\omega$ is a well-defined conditional expectation satisfying $\varphi^\omega \circ E_{Q^\omega} = \varphi^\omega$. Hence, $E_{Q^\omega} : M^\omega \to Q^\omega$ is a faithful normal conditional expectation.

Put $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{L}^2(M,\varphi)$. The *ultraproduct Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}^\omega$ is defined to be the quotient of $\ell^\infty(\mathbf{N},\mathcal{H})$ by the subspace consisting in sequences $(\xi_n)_n$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|\xi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$. We denote the image of $(\xi_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N},\mathcal{H})$ by $(\xi_n)_\omega \in \mathcal{H}^\omega$. The inner product space structure on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^\omega$ is defined by $\langle (\xi_n)_\omega, (\eta_n)_\omega\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^\omega} = \lim_{n\to\omega}\langle \xi_n,\eta_n\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. The GNS Hilbert space $\mathrm{L}^2(M^\omega,\varphi^\omega)$ can be embedded into $\mathcal{H}^\omega$ as a closed subspace by $\Lambda_{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) \mapsto (\Lambda_\varphi(x_n))_\omega$. For more on ultraproduct von Neumann algebras, we refer the reader to [AH12, Oc85].

Put $x\varphi = \varphi(\cdot x)$ and $\varphi x = \varphi(x\cdot)$ for all $x \in M$ and all $\varphi \in M_*$. We will be using the following standard facts.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (M,φ) be any σ-finite von Neumann algebra endowed with a faithful normal state. Then for every $x \in M$, we have*

$$\|x\varphi\| \leq \|x\|_\varphi,\ \|\varphi x\| \leq \|x^*\|_\varphi \text{ and } \|x\varphi - \varphi x\| = \|x^*\varphi - \varphi x^*\|.$$

Proof. Let $x \in M$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for all $y \in \mathrm{Ball}(M)$, we have

$$|(x\varphi)(y)| = |\varphi(yx)| \leq \|y^*\|_\varphi\,\|x\|_\varphi \leq \|x\|_\varphi$$

and hence $\|x\varphi\| \leq \|x\|_\varphi$. Likewise, for all $y \in \mathrm{Ball}(M)$, we have

$$|(\varphi x)(y)| = |\varphi(xy)| \leq \|x^*\|_\varphi\,\|y\|_\varphi \leq \|x^*\|_\varphi$$

and hence $\|\varphi x\| \leq \|x^*\|_\varphi$. Moreover, for all $y \in \mathrm{Ball}(M)$, we have

$$|(x^*\varphi - \varphi x^*)(y)| = |\varphi(yx^* - x^*y)| = |\overline{\varphi(yx^* - x^*y)}| = |\varphi(xy^* - y^*x)| = |(x\varphi - \varphi x)(y^*)|.$$

This implies that $\|x\varphi - \varphi x\| = \|x^*\varphi - \varphi x^*\|$. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let (M,φ) be any σ-finite von Neumann algebra endowed with a faithful normal state.*

1. *For every $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and every $(y_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N},M)$ such that $x_n - y_n \to 0$ $*$-strongly as $n \to \omega$, we have $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and $(x_n)^\omega = (y_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$.*
2. *For every $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N},M)$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|x_n\varphi - \varphi x_n\| = 0$, we have $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and $(x_n)^\omega \in (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$.*
3. *For every projection $e \in M^\omega$, there exists a sequence of projections $(e_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ such that $e = (e_n)^\omega$.*

Proof. (1) Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and $(y_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N},M)$ such that $x_n - y_n \to 0$ $*$-strongly as $n \to \omega$. Then $(y_n - x_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}^\omega(M) \subset \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and hence $(y_n)_n = (y_n - x_n)_n + (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. Moreover, by the definition of the ultraproduct von Neumann algebra M^ω, we have $(x_n)^\omega = (y_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$.

(2) Let $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N},M)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|x_n\varphi - \varphi x_n\| = 0$. Let $(b_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}^\omega(M)$. We may assume that $\max\{\|x_n\|_\infty, \|b_n\|_\infty : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \leq 1$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\|x_n b_n\|_\varphi^\sharp)^2 &= \varphi(b_n^* x_n^* x_n b_n) + \varphi(x_n\, b_n b_n^* x_n^*) \\
&\leq \|b_n\|_\varphi\,\|x_n^* x_n b_n\|_\varphi + |(x_n\varphi - \varphi x_n)(b_n b_n^* x_n^*)| + |\varphi(b_n\, b_n^* x_n^* x_n)| \\
&\leq \|b_n\|_\varphi + \|x_n\varphi - \varphi x_n\|\,\|b_n b_n^* x_n^*\|_\infty + \|b_n^*\|_\varphi\,\|b_n^* x_n^* x_n\|_\varphi \\
&\leq \|b_n\|_\varphi + \|x_n\varphi - \varphi x_n\| + \|b_n^*\|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|x_nb_n\|_\varphi^\sharp = 0$ and so $(x_nb_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}^\omega(M)$. Likewise, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\|b_nx_n\|_\varphi^\sharp)^2 &= \varphi(x_n^*\, b_n^*b_nx_n) + \varphi(b_n\, x_nx_n^*b_n^*)\\ &\leq |(x_n^*\varphi - \varphi x_n^*)(b_n^*b_nx_n)| + |\varphi(b_n^*\, b_nx_nx_n^*)| + \|b_n^*\|_\varphi\, \|x_nx_n^*b_n^*\|_\varphi\\ &\leq \|x_n^*\varphi - \varphi x_n^*\|\, \|b_n^*b_nx_n\|_\infty + \|b_n\|_\varphi\, \|b_nx_nx_n^*\|_\varphi + \|b_n^*\|_\varphi\\ &\leq \|x_n\varphi - \varphi x_n\| + \|b_n\|_\varphi + \|b_n^*\|_\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|b_nx_n\|_\varphi^\sharp = 0$ and so $(b_nx_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}^\omega(M)$. This shows that $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. Moreover, $x = (x_n)^\omega \in (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$ by [AH12, Lemma 4.35].

(3) The proof is identical to the one of [Co75a, Proposition 1.1.3]. Let $e \in M^\omega$ be any projection. We may choose a sequence $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ such that $\|x_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $e = (x_n)^\omega$. Put $y_n = x_n^*x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $e = e^*e$, we have $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|x_n - y_n\|_\varphi^\sharp = 0$, $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and $e = (y_n)^\omega$. Since $e = e^2$, we moreover have $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|y_n - y_n^2\|_\varphi^\sharp = 0$. Put $\varepsilon_n = \|y_n - y_n^2\|_\varphi$. Letting $e_n = \mathbf{1}_{[1-\sqrt{\varepsilon_n},1]}(y_n) \in M$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|y_n - e_n\|_\varphi^\sharp = 0$ by [Co75a, Lemma 1.1.5]. It follows that $(e_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and $e = (e_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$ by item (1) of the proposition. □

The next proposition will be useful to prove Corollary B.

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be any factor with separable predual and $Q \subset M$ any irreducible subfactor with expectation. Then, either $Q' \cap M^\omega = \mathbf{C}$ or $Q' \cap M^\omega$ is diffuse.*

Proof. Denote by $E_Q : M \to Q$ the faithful normal conditional expectation. Choose a faithful normal state on Q and still denote by φ the faithful normal state $\varphi \circ E_Q$ on M. Since Q is globally invariant under the modular automorphism group (σ_t^φ) and since $\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}(x) = \sigma_t^\varphi(x)$ for all $x \in M$, the relative commutant $Q' \cap M^\omega$ is globally invariant under the modular automorphism group $(\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega})$. Hence $(Q' \cap M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega} = (Q' \cap M^\omega) \cap (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega} = Q' \cap (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$.

Claim. Either $Q' \cap (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega} = \mathbf{C}$ or $Q' \cap (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$ is diffuse.

Proof of the Claim. We use the proof of [Io12, Lemma 2.7]. Put $\mathcal{Q} = Q' \cap (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$ and denote by $e \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})$ the maximum central projection in $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $\mathcal{Q}e$ is discrete. We may represent $e = (e_n)^\omega$ by a sequence of projections $(e_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. Put $\lambda = \varphi^\omega(e) = \lim_{n\to\omega}\varphi(e_n)$. Since $Q' \cap M = \mathbf{C}$, we have $e_n \to \lambda 1$ σ-weakly as $n \to \omega$.

Next, we construct by induction a sequence of projections $(f_m)_{m\geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{Q}$ such that

$$\varphi^\omega(ef_i) = \lambda^2 \ \text{ and } \ \varphi^\omega(ef_if_j) = \lambda^3, \forall 1 \leq i < j. \tag{1}$$

Indeed, assume that $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathcal{Q}$ have been constructed. For every $1 \leq j \leq m$, represent $f_j = (f_{j,n})^\omega$ by a sequence of projections $(f_{j,n})_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. Let $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ be a $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\sharp$-dense sequence in $\mathrm{Ball}(Q)$. Since $e = (e_n)^\omega \in (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$, since $\lim_{n\to\omega}\|e_nx_i - x_ie_n\|_\varphi^\sharp = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and since $e_n \to \lambda 1$ σ-weakly as $n \to \omega$, we can find an increasing sequence $(k_n)_n$ in $\mathbf{N}$ such that for every $n \geq 1$, we have

- (P1) $\|e_{k_n}\varphi - \varphi e_{k_n}\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$,
- (P2) $\|e_{k_n}x_i - x_ie_{k_n}\|_\varphi^\sharp \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (P3) $|\varphi(e_ne_{k_n}) - \lambda\varphi(e_n)| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and
- (P4) $|\varphi(e_nf_{j,n}e_{k_n}) - \lambda\varphi(e_nf_{j,n})| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Property (P1) together with Proposition 2.4 imply that the sequence $(e_{k_n})_n$ lies in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and $f = (e_{k_n})^\omega \in (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$. Property (P2) implies that $x_if = fx_i$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $\{x_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is $*$-strongly dense in $\mathrm{Ball}(Q)$, we obtain that $f \in Q' \cap (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega} = \mathcal{Q}$.

Finally, Property (P3) implies that $\varphi^\omega(ef) = \lambda\varphi^\omega(e) = \lambda^2$ and Property (P4) together with the induction hypothesis imply that $\varphi^\omega(ef_jf) = \lambda\varphi^\omega(ef_j) = \lambda^3$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. We can now put $f_{m+1} = f$. This finishes the proof of the induction.

Define $p_m = f_m e$ which is a projection in $\mathcal{Q}e$. Observe that since $\mathcal{Q}e$ is a discrete tracial von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{Q}e$ is $*$-isomorphic to a countable direct sum of finite dimensional factors and hence its unit ball $\mathrm{Ball}(\mathcal{Q}e)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi_e^\omega}$-compact, where $\varphi_e^\omega = \frac{\varphi^\omega(e\cdot e)}{\varphi^\omega(e)}$. Thus, we may choose a subsequence $(p_{m_k})_{k\geq 1}$ which is $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi_e^\omega}$-convergent in $\mathrm{Ball}(\mathcal{Q}e)$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for all $1 \leq j < k$, we have

$$|\varphi_e^\omega(p_{m_j}p_{m_k}) - \varphi_e^\omega(p_{m_j})| = |\varphi_e^\omega(p_{m_j}(p_{m_k} - p_{m_j}))| \leq \|p_{m_j} - p_{m_k}\|_{\varphi_e^\omega}.$$

Taking the limit as $(j,k) \to \infty$ and using (1), we obtain $\lambda^2 = \lambda^3$. Therefore $\lambda \in \{0,1\}$ and so $e \in \{0,1\}$.

This implies that either $e = 0$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is diffuse or $e = 1$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is a discrete tracial von Neumann algebra. In the case when $\mathcal{Q}$ is a discrete tracial von Neumann algebra, we show that $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbf{C}$. Assume by contradiction that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a discrete tracial von Neumann algebra and that $\mathcal{Q} \neq \mathbf{C}$.

Denote by $E_M : M^\omega \to M$ the canonical faithful normal conditional expectation. Recall that $\varphi \circ E_M = \varphi^\omega$. Since $\mathcal{Q} \neq \mathbf{C}$, we may choose a projection $e \in \mathcal{Q}$ satisfying $\varphi^\omega(e) = \lambda$ with $\lambda \neq 0, 1$. We may represent $e = (e_n)^\omega \in \mathcal{Q}$ by a sequence of projections $(e_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. Observe that $E_M(e) = \lambda 1 = \sigma\text{-weak}\lim_{n\to\omega} e_n$. Then for all $y \in \mathrm{Ball}(M)$, we have

$$\|e - y\|_{\varphi^\omega} \geq \|e - E_M(e)\|_{\varphi^\omega} = \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2} > 0.$$

Put $\varepsilon = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda-\lambda^2}}{2}$. Put $e_1 = e \in \mathcal{Q}$. Next, we construct by induction a sequence of projections $e_m \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $\|e_p - e_q\|_{\varphi^\omega} \geq \varepsilon$ for all $p, q \geq 1$ such that $p \neq q$. Assume that $e_1, \ldots, e_m \in \mathcal{Q}$ have been constructed. For every $1 \leq j \leq m$, represent $e_j = (e_{j,n})^\omega$ by a sequence of projections $(e_{j,n})_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$. Let $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ be a $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\sharp$-dense sequence in $\mathrm{Ball}(Q)$. Since $e = (e_n)^\omega \in (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$, since $\lim_{k\to\omega} \|e_k x_i - x_i e_k\|_\varphi^\sharp = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and since $\lim_{k\to\omega} \|e_k - e_{j,n}\|_\varphi = \|e - e_{j,n}\|_{\varphi^\omega} \geq 2\varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we can find an increasing sequence $(k_n)_n$ in $\mathbf{N}$ such that for every $n \geq 1$, we have

(P1) $\|e_{k_n}\varphi - \varphi e_{k_n}\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$,
(P2) $\|e_{k_n}x_i - x_i e_{k_n}\|_\varphi^\sharp \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and
(P3) $\|e_{k_n} - e_{j,n}\|_\varphi \geq \varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$.

By the same reasoning as before, Properties (P1) and (P2) imply that $(e_{k_n})_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M)$ and $f = (e_{k_n})^\omega \in \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, Property (P3) implies that $\|f - e_j\|_{\varphi^\omega} \geq \varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. We can now put $e_{m+1} = f$. This finishes the proof of the induction.

So, we have constructed a sequence of projections $e_m \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $\|e_p - e_q\|_{\varphi^\omega} \geq \varepsilon$ for all $p, q \geq 1$ such that $p \neq q$. This however contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{Ball}(\mathcal{Q})$ is $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi^\omega}$-compact and finishes the proof of the Claim. □

Assume that $Q' \cap (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega} = \mathbf{C}$. Then by [AH12, Lemma 5.4], we have that $Q' \cap M^\omega = \mathbf{C}$ or $Q' \cap M^\omega$ is a type III_1 factor. Next, assume that $Q' \cap (M^\omega)^{\varphi^\omega}$ is diffuse. Then, using Proposition 2.2, we have that $Q' \cap M^\omega$ is diffuse. Therefore, either $Q' \cap M^\omega = \mathbf{C}$ or $Q' \cap M^\omega$ is diffuse. □

Proposition 2.6. *For every diffuse amenable von Neumann algebra M with separable predual, the central sequence algebra $M' \cap M^\omega$ is diffuse.*

Proof. Let M be any diffuse amenable von Neumann algebra with separable predual. There exists a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections $z_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ such that $\sum_n z_n = 1$, Mz_0 is an amenable von Neumann algebra with a diffuse center and separable predual and Mz_n is a diffuse

amenable factor with separable predual for every $n \geq 1$. It is obvious that $(Mz_0)' \cap (Mz_0)^\omega$ is diffuse. By the classification of amenable factors with separable predual (see [Co72, Co74, Co75b, Co85, Ha84]), Mz_n is hyperfinite and $(Mz_n)' \cap (Mz_n)^\omega$ is diffuse for every $n \geq 1$. Therefore $M' \cap M^\omega = \bigoplus_n (Mz_n)' \cap (Mz_n)^\omega$ is diffuse. □

An elementary fact on ε-orthogonality. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. We say that two (not necessarily closed) subspaces $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{H}$ are *ε-orthogonal* and we denote by $\mathcal{K} \perp_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}$ if

$$|\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \varepsilon \, \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \ \forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}, \forall \eta \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Define the function

$$\delta : \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \to \mathbf{R}_+ : t \mapsto \frac{2t}{\sqrt{1 - t - \sqrt{2}\, t\sqrt{1-t}}}.$$

We will be using the following elementary fact regarding ε-orthogonality whose proof can be found in [Ho12a, Proposition 2.3].

Proposition 2.7 ([Ho12a])**.** *Let $k \geq 1$. Let $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ such that $\delta^{\circ(k-1)}(\varepsilon) < 1$. For all $1 \leq i \leq 2^k$, let $p_i \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be projections such that $p_i \mathcal{H} \perp_\varepsilon p_j \mathcal{H}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 2^k\}$ such that $i \neq j$. Write $P_k = \bigvee_{i=1}^{2^k} p_i$. Then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \|p_i \xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1 + \delta^{\circ j}(\varepsilon)\right)^2 \|P_k \xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

3. Asymptotic orthogonality in the ultraproduct framework

The key result of the paper is the following generalization of Popa's result [Po83, Lemma 2.1] regarding asymptotic orthogonality for free group factors to arbitrary free product von Neumann algebras. There are mainly two difficulties that arise in generalizing Popa's result [Po83, Lemma 2.1] to the setting of arbitrary free product von Neumann algebras. The first main difficulty is that the free product von Neumann algebra $(M, \varphi) = (M_1, \varphi_1) * (M_2, \varphi_2)$ is no longer assumed to be tracial. Hence, we need to work in the ultraproduct von Neumann algebra framework and carefully approximate elements in M in the σ-strong topology by finite linear combinations of reduced words which are analytic with respect to the modular automorphism group (σ_t^φ) (see also the proof of [Ue11, Proposition 3.5] where a similar method is used). The second main difficulty is that unlike the case of the free group factors, M is no longer assumed to have a nice basis of unitary elements. To circumvent this issue, we will use ε-orthogonality techniques from [Ho12a, Ho12b].

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M_1, φ_1) and (M_2, φ_2) be σ-finite von Neumann algebras endowed with faithful normal states. Assume that the centralizer $M_1^{\varphi_1}$ is diffuse. Denote by $(M, \varphi) = (M_1, \varphi_1) * (M_2, \varphi_2)$ the free product von Neumann algebra.*

Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(M_1^{\varphi_1})$ be any unitary such that $u^k \to 0$ weakly as $|k| \to \infty$. For every $x \in \{u\}' \cap M^\omega$ and every $y \in M \ominus M_1$, the elements $y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))$, $(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y$ and $yE_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y$ are pairwise φ^ω-orthogonal in M^ω.

Proof. For every $i \in \{1, 2\}$, denote by $\mathcal{A}_i \subset M_i$ (resp. $\mathcal{A} \subset M$) the unital σ-strongly dense $*$-subalgebra of all the elements in M_i (resp. M) which are analytic with respect to the modular automorphism group $(\sigma_t^{\varphi_i})$ (resp. (σ_t^φ)) (see Proposition 2.1). Observe that for every $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \mathcal{A}$. Denote by $(\mathcal{A}_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C})$ the set of all the reduced words of the form $a_1 \cdots a_n$ with $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i_j} \ominus \mathbf{C}$, $n \geq 1$ and $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n$. The linear span of

$$\{1, (\mathcal{A}_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C}) : n \geq 1, i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n\}$$

forms a unital σ-strongly dense $*$-subalgebra of M.

Using the existence of the normal conditional expectation $E_{M_1} : M \to M_1$, every $y \in M \ominus M_1$ can be approximated with respect to the σ-strong topology by a net $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ of finite linear combinations of reduced words in $(\mathcal{A}_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C})$ where $n \geq 1$, $2 \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$ and $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n$. Assume that for every $\alpha \in I$ and every $x \in \{u\}' \cap M^\omega$, $y_\alpha(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))$, $(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y_\alpha$ and $y_\alpha E_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y_\alpha$ are pairwise φ^ω-orthogonal in M^ω. Then since $y_\alpha \to y$ σ-strongly as $\alpha \to \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} y_\alpha(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) &\to y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) \\ (x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y_\alpha &\to (x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y \\ y_\alpha E_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y_\alpha &\to yE_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y \end{aligned}$$

σ-strongly as $\alpha \to \infty$. Therefore, $y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))$, $(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y$ and $yE_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y$ are pairwise φ^ω-orthogonal in M^ω. Using the previous discussion, we infer that it suffices to prove the result for

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \quad \text{where} \quad w_j = a_{j,1}b_{j,1} \cdots b_{j,n_j}a_{j,n_j+1}$$

with $n_j \geq 1$, $a_{j,1} = 1$ or $a_{j,1} \in \mathcal{A}_1 \ominus \mathbf{C}$, $a_{j,n_j+1} = 1$ or $a_{j,n_j+1} \in \mathcal{A}_1 \ominus \mathbf{C}$, $a_{j,2}, \ldots, a_{j,n_j} \in \mathcal{A}_1 \ominus \mathbf{C}$ and $b_{j,1}, \ldots, b_{j,n_j} \in \mathcal{A}_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}$. We fix such an element $y \in M \ominus M_1$ until the end of the proof. Observe that for every $1 \leq j \leq k$, we have $w_j \in \mathcal{A} \ominus \mathbf{C}$ and

$$\sigma_{-\mathrm{i}}^{\varphi}(w_j^*) = \sigma_{-\mathrm{i}}^{\varphi_1}(a_{j,n_j+1}^*)\sigma_{-\mathrm{i}}^{\varphi_2}(b_{j,n_j}^*) \cdots \sigma_{-\mathrm{i}}^{\varphi_2}(b_{j,1}^*)\sigma_{-\mathrm{i}}^{\varphi_1}(a_{j,1}^*).$$

It follows that $\sigma_{-\mathrm{i}}^{\varphi}(w_j^*)$ is a reduced word containing at least one letter from $M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}$.

Denote by $V \subset M_1$ the finite dimensional vector subspace generated by 1 and by

- the first letters coming from $M_1 \ominus \mathbf{C}$ of the reduced words $w_i, w_i^*, \sigma_{-\mathrm{i}}^{\varphi}(w_i^*)$ and the first letters coming from $M_1 \ominus \mathbf{C}$ of all the reduced words arising in the finite linear decomposition of $w_j^* w_i$ into reduced words, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, and
- the last letters coming from $M_1 \ominus \mathbf{C}$ of the reduced words w_i and the last letters coming from $M_1 \ominus \mathbf{C}$ of all the reduced words arising in the finite linear decomposition of $w_i\sigma_{-\mathrm{i}}^{\varphi}(w_j^*)$ into reduced words, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

Let $\ell = \dim(V)$ and choose elements $e_1, \ldots, e_\ell \in V$ so that $(\Lambda_{\varphi_1}(e_i))_{i=1}^{\ell}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\Lambda_{\varphi_1}(V)$. By Gram-Schmidt process, choose a vector subspace $W \subset M_1$ so that

$$\mathrm{L}^2(M_1) = \Lambda_{\varphi_1}(V) \oplus \overline{\Lambda_{\varphi_1}(W)}.$$

We will be using the following notation:

- $\mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathrm{L}^2(M)$ is the closed subspace generated by the image under Λ_φ of the linear span of all the reduced words in $(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})$, $(V \ominus \mathbf{C})(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})$, $(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})(M_1 \ominus \mathbf{C})$ and $(V \ominus \mathbf{C})(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})(M_1 \ominus \mathbf{C})$. Observe that
$$\mathcal{K}_1 \cong \Lambda_\varphi(V) \otimes \mathrm{L}^2((M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})M_1).$$
- $\mathcal{K}_2 \subset \mathrm{L}^2(M)$ is the closed subspace generated by the image under Λ_φ of the linear span of all the reduced words in $W(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})$ and $W(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})(V \ominus \mathbf{C})$. Observe that
$$\mathcal{K}_2 \cong \mathrm{L}^2(W(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})) \otimes \Lambda_\varphi(V).$$
- $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathrm{L}^2(M)$ is the closed subspace generated by the image under Λ_φ of the linear span of all the reduced words in $W(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})W$. Observe that
$$\mathrm{L}^2(M_1) \oplus \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2 \oplus \mathcal{L} = \mathrm{L}^2(M).$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(M_1^{\varphi_1})$ such that $u^k \to 0$ weakly as $|k| \to \infty$ and put $T = u\, J_\varphi u J_\varphi \in \mathcal{U}(\mathrm{L}^2(M))$. Observe that since $u \in \mathcal{U}(M_1^{\varphi_1}) \subset \mathcal{U}(M^\varphi)$, we have $T\Lambda_\varphi(z) = \Lambda_\varphi(uzu^*)$ for all $z \in M$.

Claim 1. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for all $i \in \{1,2\}$ and all $|k| \geq k_0$, we have $T^k\mathcal{K}_i \perp_\varepsilon \mathcal{K}_i$.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}_1$ that we write $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \Lambda_\varphi(e_i) \otimes \xi_i$ and $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \Lambda_\varphi(e_j) \otimes \eta_j$ with $\xi_i, \eta_j \in \mathrm{L}^2((M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C})M_1)$. Observe that $\|\xi\|_\varphi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \|\xi_i\|_\varphi^2$ and $\|\eta\|_\varphi^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \|\eta_j\|_\varphi^2$. Since $u \in M^\varphi$, we have $T^k\xi = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \Lambda_\varphi(u^k e_i) \otimes J_\varphi u^k J_\varphi \xi_i$ and hence

$$|\langle T^k\xi, \eta\rangle_\varphi| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^\ell |\varphi(e_j^* u^k e_i)|\, \|\xi_i\|_\varphi\, \|\eta_j\|_\varphi.$$

Since $u^k \to 0$ weakly as $|k| \to \infty$, we may choose $k_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for all $|k| \geq k_1$ and all $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$, we have $|\varphi(e_j^* u^k e_i)| \leq \varepsilon/\ell$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for all $|k| \geq k_1$, we obtain $|\langle T^k\xi, \eta\rangle_\varphi| \leq \varepsilon\|\xi\|_\varphi\|\eta\|_\varphi$.

Likewise let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}_2$ that we write $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \xi_i \otimes \Lambda_\varphi(e_i)$ and $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \eta_j \otimes \Lambda_\varphi(e_j)$ with $\xi_i, \eta_j \in \mathrm{L}^2(W(M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}))$. Observe that $\|\xi\|_\varphi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \|\xi_i\|_\varphi^2$ and $\|\eta\|_\varphi^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \|\eta_j\|_\varphi^2$. Since $u \in M^\varphi$, we have $T^k\xi = \sum_{i=1}^\ell u^k\xi_i \otimes \Lambda_\varphi(e_i u^{-k})$ and hence

$$|\langle T^k\xi, \eta\rangle_\varphi| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^\ell |\varphi(e_j^* e_i u^{-k})|\, \|\xi_i\|_\varphi\, \|\eta_j\|_\varphi.$$

Since $u^k \to 0$ weakly as $|k| \to \infty$, we may choose $k_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for all $|k| \geq k_2$ and all $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$, we have $|\varphi(e_j^* e_i u^{-k})| \leq \varepsilon/\ell$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for all $|k| \geq k_2$, we obtain $|\langle T^k\xi, \eta\rangle_\varphi| \leq \varepsilon\|\xi\|_\varphi\|\eta\|_\varphi$.

Put $k_0 = \max(k_1, k_2)$. Then for all $i \in \{1,2\}$ and all $|k| \geq k_0$, we have that $T^k\mathcal{K}_i \perp_\varepsilon \mathcal{K}_i$. □

Claim 2. For all $i \in \{1,2\}$ and all $(z_n)^\omega \in \{u\}' \cap M^\omega$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\omega} \|P_{\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi = 0.$$

Proof of Claim 2. Let $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $z = (z_n)^\omega \in \{u\}' \cap M^\omega$. We may assume that $\|z_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. For all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2 &= \|T^k P_{\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2 \\
&= \|T^k P_{\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n)) - P_{T^k\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n)) + P_{T^k\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2 \\
&\leq 2\|T^k P_{\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n)) - P_{T^k\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2 + 2\|P_{T^k\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2 \\
&= 2\|P_{T^k\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(u^k z_n u^{-k} - z_n))\|_\varphi^2 + 2\|P_{T^k\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2 \\
&\leq 2\|u^k z_n u^{-k} - z_n\|_\varphi^2 + 2\|P_{T^k\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Fix $K \geq 1$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ very small according to [Ho12a, Proposition 2.3] so that $\prod_{j=0}^{K-1}(1 + \delta^{\circ j}(\varepsilon))^2 \leq 2$. Then choose a subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbf{N}$ of 2^K integers such that two distinct integers in $\mathcal{G}$ are at least at distance k_0 from one another. By Claim 1, we obtain $T^{k_1}\mathcal{K}_i \perp_\varepsilon T^{k_2}\mathcal{K}_i$ for all $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $k_1 \neq k_2$. Thus, we obtain

$$2^K\|P_{\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2 \leq 2\sum_{k\in\mathcal{G}} \|u^k z_n u^{-k} - z_n\|_\varphi^2 + 4\|z_n\|_\varphi^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is finite, we have $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|P_{\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi^2 \leq 2^{2-K}$ for all $K \geq 1$. Therefore, we obtain $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|P_{\mathcal{K}_i}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))\|_\varphi = 0$. □

Claim 3. The subspaces $y\,\mathcal{L}$, $J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y^*)J_\varphi\,\mathcal{L}$ and $y\,\mathrm{L}^2(M_1)+J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y^*)J_\varphi\,\mathrm{L}^2(M_1)$ are pairwise orthogonal in $\mathrm{L}^2(M)$.

Proof of Claim 3. Recall that $y=\sum_{j=1}^k w_j$ where $w_j=a_{j,1}b_{j,1}\cdots b_{j,n_j}a_{j,n_j+1}$ with $n_j\geq 1$, $a_{j,1}=1$ or $a_{j,1}\in\mathcal{A}_1\ominus\mathbf{C}$, $a_{j,n_j+1}=1$ or $a_{j,n_j+1}\in\mathcal{A}_1\ominus\mathbf{C}$, $a_{j,2},\ldots,a_{j,n_j}\in\mathcal{A}_1\ominus\mathbf{C}$ and $b_{j,1},\ldots,b_{j,n_j}\in\mathcal{A}_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$. Observe that

$$y\,\mathcal{L}\subset\overline{\mathrm{span}\,\{\Lambda_\varphi(w_jW(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})W):1\leq j\leq k\}} \tag{2}$$

$$J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y^*)J_\varphi\,\mathcal{L}\subset\overline{\mathrm{span}\,\{\Lambda_\varphi(W(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})Ww_j):1\leq j\leq k\}} \tag{3}$$

and

$$y\,\mathrm{L}^2(M_1)+J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y^*)J_\varphi\,\mathrm{L}^2(M_1)\subset\overline{\mathrm{span}\,\{\Lambda_\varphi(w_iM_1),\Lambda_\varphi(M_1w_j):1\leq i,j\leq k\}}. \tag{4}$$

Let $1\leq i\leq k$. Observe that by the choice of the vector subspace $W\subset M_1$, any letter $v\in W$ is φ-orthogonal in M to the first letter of the reduced word w_i^* and to the first letter of the reduced word $\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}}(w_i^*)$. Hence w_iv is a reduced word starting with the first letter of w_i and ending with a letter from $M_1\ominus\mathbf{C}$ and vw_i is a reduced word starting with a letter from $M_1\ominus\mathbf{C}$ and ending with the last letter of w_i. Moreover both vw_i and w_iv contain at least one letter from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$.

Let $1\leq i,j\leq k$. By the choice of the vector subspace $W\subset M_1$ and the remark above, the first letter of any reduced word w_iv with $v\in W$ is φ-orthogonal to W in M. This implies that $W(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})Ww_j$ and $w_iW(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})W$ are φ-orthogonal in M. Since this holds for all $1\leq i,j\leq k$, using (2) and (3), we obtain that the subspaces $y\,\mathcal{L}$ and $J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y^*)J_\varphi\,\mathcal{L}$ are orthogonal in $\mathrm{L}^2(M)$.

Let $1\leq i,j\leq k$. If $n_i\leq n_j$, then any element in w_iM_1 is a finite linear combination of reduced words which have at most n_i letters from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$ while a reduced word in $w_jW(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})W$ has at least n_j+1 letters from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$. This implies that $w_jW(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})W$ and w_iM_1 are φ-orthogonal in M. If $n_i>n_j$, then $w_j^*w_i$ is a finite linear combination of reduced words whose first letter is φ-orthogonal to W in M and which contain at least one letter from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$. It follows that any element in $w_j^*w_iM_1$ is a finite linear combination of reduced words whose first letter is φ-orthogonal to W in M. Again, this implies that $w_jW(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})W$ and w_iM_1 are φ-orthogonal in M. Next, since w_i contains at least one letter from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$ and by the choice of the vector subspace $W\subset M_1$, any element in M_1w_i is a finite linear combination of reduced words whose last letter is φ-orthogonal to W in M. This implies that $w_jW(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})W$ and M_1w_i are φ-orthogonal in M. Since the previous reasoning holds for all $1\leq i,j\leq k$, using (2) and (4), we obtain that the subspaces $y\,\mathcal{L}$ and $y\,\mathrm{L}^2(M_1)+J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y^*)J_\varphi\,\mathrm{L}^2(M_1)$ are orthogonal in $\mathrm{L}^2(M)$.

Let $1\leq i,j\leq k$. Since w_i contains at least one letter from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$ and by the choice of the vector subspace $W\subset M_1$, any element in w_iM_1 is a finite linear combination of reduced words whose first letter is φ-orthogonal to W in M. This implies that $W(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})Ww_j$ and w_iM_1 are φ-orthogonal in M. Next, if $n_i\leq n_j$, then any element in M_1w_i is a finite linear combination of reduced words which have at most n_i letters from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$ while a reduced word in $W(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})Ww_j$ has at least n_j+1 letters from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$. This implies that $W(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})Ww_j$ and M_1w_i are φ-orthogonal in M. If $n_i>n_j$, then $w_i\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}}(w_j^*)$ is a finite linear combination of reduced words whose last letter is φ-orthogonal to W in M and which contain at least one letter from $M_2\ominus\mathbf{C}$. It follows that any element in $M_1w_i\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}}(w_j^*)$ is a finite linear combination of reduced words whose last letter is φ-orthogonal to W in M. Using Proposition 2.1, this implies again that $W(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})\cdots(M_2\ominus\mathbf{C})Ww_j$ and M_1w_i are φ-orthogonal in M. Since the previous reasoning holds for all $1\leq i,j\leq k$, using (3) and

(4), we obtain that the subspaces $J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y^*)J_\varphi\,\mathcal{L}$ and $y\,\mathrm{L}^2(M_1) + J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y^*)J_\varphi\,\mathrm{L}^2(M_1)$ are orthogonal in $\mathrm{L}^2(M)$. This finishes the proof of Claim 3. □

We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $x \in \{u\}' \cap M^\omega$ and put $z = x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)$. Observe that since $u \in M_1 \subset M_1^\omega$, we have $z \in \{u\}' \cap (M^\omega \ominus M_1^\omega)$. Write $z = (z_n)^\omega \in \{u\}' \cap (M^\omega \ominus M_1^\omega)$ with $z_n = x_n - E_{M_1}(x_n)$. By Claim 2 and since y is analytic with respect to the modular automorphism group (σ^φ_t), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\varphi^\omega}(yz) &= (\Lambda_\varphi(yz_n))_\omega = (y\,\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))_\omega \\
&= (y\,P_{\mathcal{L}}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n)))_\omega \in \mathrm{L}^2(M)^\omega \\
\Lambda_{\varphi^\omega}(zy) &= (\Lambda_\varphi(z_ny))_\omega = (J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y)^*J_\varphi\,\Lambda_\varphi(z_n))_\omega \\
&= (J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y)^*J_\varphi\,P_{\mathcal{L}}(\Lambda_\varphi(z_n)))_\omega \in \mathrm{L}^2(M)^\omega \\
\Lambda_{\varphi^\omega}(yE_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y) &= (\Lambda_\varphi(yE_{M_1}(x_n) - E_{M_1}(x_n)y))_\omega \\
&= ((y - J_\varphi\sigma^\varphi_{-\mathrm{i}/2}(y)^*J_\varphi)\,\Lambda_\varphi(E_{M_1}(x_n)))_\omega \in \mathrm{L}^2(M)^\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Claim 3 for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and using the ultraproduct Hilbert space structure of $\mathrm{L}^2(M)^\omega$, we obtain that $\Lambda_{\varphi^\omega}(y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)))$, $\Lambda_{\varphi^\omega}((x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y)$ and $\Lambda_{\varphi^\omega}(yE_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y)$ are pairwise orthogonal in $\mathrm{L}^2(M)^\omega$. This implies that $y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))$, $(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y$ and $yE_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y$ are pairwise φ^ω-orthogonal in M^ω. □

4. Proofs of the main results

4.1. **Proof of Theorem A and Corollaries B and C.**

Proof of Theorem A. Let $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$ be any intermediate von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q' \cap M^\omega$ is diffuse. Since $M_1^{\varphi_1}$ is diffuse, by [Ue11, Corollary 3.2], we have $Q' \cap M \subset M_1' \cap M \subset M_1$ and so $Q' \cap M = \mathcal{Z}(Q) = Q' \cap M_1 \subset \mathcal{Z}(M_1)$.

First, denote by $z \in Q' \cap M$ the maximum projection such that $M_1z = Qz$. We show that $z = 1$. Assume by contradiction that $z \neq 1$ and put $q = z^\perp = 1 - z \in Q' \cap M = \mathcal{Z}(Q)$. We have $q \neq 0$ and $Qq \ominus M_1q \neq 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the nonzero σ-strongly closed two-sided ideal in Qq generated by $Qq \ominus M_1q$. Let $e \in \mathcal{Z}(Qq) = \mathcal{Z}(Q)q$ be the unique nonzero central projection in Qq such that $\mathcal{J} = Qe$. We necessarily have $e = q$. Indeed otherwise we have $q - e \neq 0$ and by the choice of the projection $z \in Q' \cap M$, we have $Q(q-e) \ominus M_1(q-e) \neq 0$. Now let $y \in Q(q-e) \ominus M_1(q-e)$ such that $y \neq 0$. Since $y \in Qq \ominus M_1q$, we obtain $y \in \mathcal{J}$ and so $y = ye$. However since $y \in Q(q-e) \ominus M_1(q-e)$, we also obtain $y = y(q-e)$ and thus $y = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, we have $e = q$.

Next, we show that $(Qq)' \cap (qMq)^\omega \subset (M_1q)^\omega$. Indeed, let $x \in (Qq)' \cap (qMq)^\omega \subset M_1' \cap M^\omega$. For every $y \in Qq \ominus M_1q \subset M \ominus M_1$, we have

$$0 = yx - xy = y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) - (x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y + (yE_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y).$$

By Theorem 3.1, $y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))$, $(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x))y$ and $(yE_{M_1^\omega}(x) - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)y)$ are pairwise φ^ω-orthogonal in M^ω. By Pythagora's theorem, we obtain $y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) = 0$. Likewise, for every $a \in Qq$ and every $y \in Qq \ominus M_1q$, we have $a\,y\,(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) = 0$ and since $yE_{M_1}(a) \in Qq \ominus M_1q$ and $a - E_{M_1}(a) \in Qq \ominus M_1q$, we also have

$$y\,a\,(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) = y\,E_{M_1}(a)\,(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) + y\,(a - E_{M_1}(a))\,(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) = 0.$$

This implies that for every $y \in \mathcal{J}$, we have $y(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) = 0$ hence $q(x - E_{M_1^\omega}(x)) = 0$. Therefore, $x = E_{(M_1q)^\omega}(x) \in (M_1q)^\omega$.

Now we have that $(Qq)' \cap (qMq)^\omega = (Qq)' \cap (M_1q)^\omega$. Since $Q' \cap M^\omega$ is diffuse and since $(Qq)' \cap (qMq)^\omega = q(Q' \cap M^\omega)q$, we have that $(Qq)' \cap (M_1q)^\omega$ is diffuse as well. This implies that there exists a net of unitaries $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}((Qq)' \cap (M_1q)^\omega)$ such that $U_\alpha \to 0$ weakly as $\alpha \to \infty$. We may represent every $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}((Qq)' \cap (M_1q)^\omega)$ by a sequence of elements $(u_n^\alpha)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_1q)$ such that $u_n^\alpha \in \mathrm{Ball}(M_1q)$ for every α and every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $U_\alpha = (u_n^\alpha)^\omega$ for every α and $yu_n^\alpha - u_n^\alpha y \to 0$ $*$-strongly as $n \to \omega$ for every α and every $y \in Qq$.

Define the directed set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{i = (\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) : \varepsilon > 0,\ \mathcal{F} \subset M_1q \text{ and } \mathcal{G} \subset Qq \text{ are finite subsets}\}$$

with order relation given by

$$(\varepsilon_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}_1) \leq (\varepsilon_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{G}_2) \text{ if and only if } \varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_1,\ \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2.$$

Let $i = (\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $U_\alpha \to 0$ weakly as $\alpha \to \infty$, there exists α such that $|\varphi^\omega(b^*U_\alpha a)| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $U_\alpha = (u_n^\alpha)^\omega \in \mathcal{U}((Qq)' \cap (M_1q)^\omega)$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$ and all $c \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq |\varphi^\omega(b^*U_\alpha a)| &= \lim_{n\to\omega} |\varphi(b^*u_n^\alpha a)| \\ \|a\|_\varphi = \|U_\alpha a\|_{\varphi^\omega} &= \lim_{n\to\omega} \|u_n^\alpha a\|_\varphi \\ 0 = \|cU_\alpha - U_\alpha c\|_{\varphi^\omega} &= \lim_{n\to\omega} \|cu_n^\alpha - u_n^\alpha c\|_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{F} \subset M_1q$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset Qq$ are finite subsets, there exists $n = n(\alpha)$ such that

$$\max\Big\{|\|a\|_\varphi - \|u_{n(\alpha)}^\alpha a\|_\varphi|, \|cu_{n(\alpha)}^\alpha - u_{n(\alpha)}^\alpha c\|_\varphi, |\varphi(b^*u_{n(\alpha)}^\alpha a)| : a, b \in \mathcal{F}, c \in \mathcal{G}\Big\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Put $w_i = u_{n(\alpha)}^\alpha \in \mathrm{Ball}(M_1q)$. Thus, $(w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a net of elements in $\mathrm{Ball}(M_1q)$ such that

(P1) $\lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|w_ia\|_\varphi = \|a\|_\varphi$ for all $a \in M_1q$.
(P2) $\lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|cw_i - w_ic\|_\varphi = 0$ for all $c \in Qq$.
(P3) $\lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} |\varphi(b^*w_ia)| = 0$ for all $a, b \in M_1q$.

Put $\mathcal{E} = \mathrm{span}(\{q(M_{i_1} \ominus \mathbf{C}) \cdots (M_{i_n} \ominus \mathbf{C})q : n \geq 1, 2 \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \text{ and } i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n\})$. Observe that $\mathcal{E}$ is σ-strongly dense in $qMq \ominus M_1q$.

Claim. The following hold true.

(1) For all $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$, we have

$$\lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|E_{M_1q}(b^*w_ia)\|_\varphi = 0.$$

(2) For all $b \in \mathcal{E}$ and all $y \in qMq \ominus M_1q$, we have

$$\lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|E_{M_1q}(b^*w_iy)\|_\varphi = 0.$$

Proof of the Claim. (1) By linearity, it suffices to prove the result for all the elements $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$ of the form $a = a_1 \cdots a_{2m+1}$ and $b = b_1 \cdots b_{2n+1}$ with $m, n \geq 1$, $a_1 = q$ or $a_1 \in M_1q \ominus \mathbf{C}q$, $a_{2m+1} = q$ or $a_{2m+1} \in M_1q \ominus \mathbf{C}q$, $b_1 = q$ or $b_1 \in M_1q \ominus \mathbf{C}q$, $b_{2n+1} = q$ or $b_{2n+1} \in M_1q \ominus \mathbf{C}q$, $a_2, \ldots, a_{2m}, b_2, \ldots, b_{2n} \in M_2 \ominus \mathbf{C}$ and $a_3, \ldots a_{2m-1}, b_3, \ldots, b_{2n-1} \in M_1 \ominus \mathbf{C}$. We have

$$b^*w_ia = b_{2n+1}^* \cdots b_2^* \, (b_1^*w_ia_1) \, a_2 \cdots a_{2m+1}.$$

By the freeness property, we have

$$E_{M_1}(b^*w_ia) = \varphi(b_1^*w_ia_1) \, E_{M_1}(b_{2n+1}^* \cdots b_2^* \, a_2 \cdots a_{2m+1}).$$

Using property (P3) of the net $(w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$, we obtain $\lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|E_{M_1q}(b^*w_ia)\|_\varphi = 0$.

(2) Let $y \in qMq \ominus M_1 q$ and $b \in \mathcal{E}$. We may assume that $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is σ-strongly dense in $qMq \ominus M_1 q$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\|y - a\|_\varphi \leq \varepsilon/2$. Thus, for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$, we have

$$\|E_{M_1}(b^* w_i(y-a))\|_\varphi \leq \|b^* w_i(y-a)\|_\varphi \leq \|y-a\|_\varphi \leq \varepsilon.$$

Using the first part of the proof, this implies that $\limsup_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|E_{M_1 q}(b^* w_i y)\|_\varphi \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain $\lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|E_{M_1 q}(b^* w_i y)\|_\varphi = 0$. This finishes the proof of the Claim. $\square$

Let $b \in \mathcal{E}$ and $y \in Qq \ominus M_1 q$. Using the properties (P1) and (P2) of the net $(w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_{M_1 q}(b^* y)\|_\varphi &= \lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|w_i\, E_{M_1 q}(b^* y)\|_\varphi \quad \text{using (P1) for } a = E_{M_1 q}(b^* y) \\
&= \lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|E_{M_1 q}(b^* y)\, w_i\|_\varphi \quad \text{using (P2) for } c = E_{M_1 q}(b^* y) \\
&= \lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|E_{M_1 q}(b^* y\, w_i)\|_\varphi \quad \text{since } w_i \in M_1 q \\
&= \lim_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|E_{M_1 q}(b^* w_i\, y)\|_\varphi \quad \text{using (P2) for } c = y \\
&= 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \text{using item (2) of the Claim.}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is σ-strongly dense in $qMq \ominus M_1 q$, we may choose a net $(b_j)_{j\in J}$ in $\mathcal{E}$ such that $b_j^* \to y^*$ σ-strongly as $j \to \infty$. Since $E_{M_1 q} : qMq \to M_1 q$ is σ-strongly continuous, we obtain that $E_{M_1 q}(b_j^* y) \to E_{M_1 q}(y^* y)$ σ-strongly as $j \to \infty$ and hence $E_{M_1 q}(y^* y) = 0$. This implies that $y^* y = 0$ and hence $y = 0$. Since $y \in Qq \ominus M_1 q$ is arbitrary, we derive that $M_1 q = Qq$. This contradicts the maximality of the projection $z \in Q' \cap M$ and finishes the proof of Theorem A. $\square$

Proof of Corollary B. Let $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$ be any intermediate von Neumann subalgebra with faithful normal conditional expectation $E_Q : M \to Q$. Denote by $E_{M_1} : M \to M_1$ the unique φ-preserving normal conditional expectation. Since $M_1^{\varphi_1}$ is diffuse, we have $M_1' \cap M \subset M_1$ by [Ue11, Corollary 3.2] and hence E_{M_1} is the unique faithful normal conditional expectation from M to M_1 by [Co72, Théorème 1.5.5]. Since $E_{M_1} \circ E_Q$ is a faithful normal conditional expectation from M to M_1, we have $E_{M_1} \circ E_Q = E_{M_1}$. This implies that for every $x \in M$, we have

$$\varphi(E_Q(x)) = \varphi(E_{M_1}(E_Q(x))) = \varphi((E_{M_1} \circ E_Q)(x)) = \varphi(E_{M_1}(x)) = \varphi(x).$$

By [Ta03, Theorem IX.4.2], we obtain that Q is globally invariant under the modular automorphism group (σ_t^φ).

Since $Q' \cap M = \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ is abelian, there exists a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections $q_n \in Q' \cap M \subset \mathcal{Z}(M_1)$ such that $\sum_n q_n = 1$, $(Qq_0)' \cap q_0 M q_0 = (Q' \cap M)q_0$ is a diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra and Qq_n is a diffuse factor such that $(Qq_n)' \cap q_n M q_n = (Q' \cap M)q_n = \mathbf{C}q_n$ for every $n \geq 1$. Define

$$\mathcal{I} = \{0\} \cup \left\{ n \geq 1 : (Qq_n)' \cap (q_n M q_n)^\omega \text{ is diffuse} \right\}.$$

Put $z_0 = \sum_{n\in\mathcal{I}} q_n$ and $N = (\mathbf{C}z_0 \oplus M_1 z_0^\perp) \vee M_2$. If $z_0 = 0$, then $M_1 z_0 = Qz_0$. Otherwise, by [Ue11, Lemma 2.2], we have that $M_1 z_0$ and $z_0 N z_0$ generate $z_0 M z_0$ and are free in $z_0 M z_0$ with respect to the state $\varphi_{z_0} = \frac{\varphi(z_0 \cdot z_0)}{\varphi(z_0)}$. Thus, we have

$$(z_0 M z_0, \varphi_{z_0}) = (M_1 z_0, \varphi_{z_0}) * (z_0 N z_0, \varphi_{z_0}).$$

Moreover, the intermediate subalgebra $M_1 z_0 \subset Qz_0 \subset z_0 M z_0$ is globally invariant under the modular automorphism group $(\sigma_t^{\varphi_{z_0}})$ and we have

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathcal{I}} (Qq_n)' \cap (q_n M q_n)^\omega \subset (Qz_0)' \cap (z_0 M z_0)^\omega. \tag{5}$$

Since $Q \subset M$ is globally invariant under the modular automorphism group (σ_t^φ) and since $q_n \in M^\varphi$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have that both $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathcal{I}} (Qq_n)' \cap (q_n M q_n)^\omega$ and $(Qz_0)' \cap (z_0 M z_0)^\omega$

are globally invariant under the modular automorphism group $(\sigma_t^{\varphi_{z_0}^{\omega}})$. Therefore, the inclusion (5) is with expectation. Since $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathcal{I}} (Qq_n)' \cap (q_nMq_n)^\omega$ is diffuse, so is $(Qz_0)' \cap (z_0Mz_0)^\omega$ by Proposition 2.2. Applying Theorem A to the intermediate von Neumann subalgebra $M_1z_0 \subset Qz_0 \subset z_0Mz_0$, we obtain $M_1z_0 = Qz_0$.

For every $n \notin \mathcal{I}$, $(Qq_n)' \cap (q_nMq_n)^\omega$ is not diffuse. By Proposition 2.5, we obtain that $(Qq_n)' \cap (q_nMq_n)^\omega = \mathbf{C}q_n$. In particular, since $Q \subset M$ is with expectation, we have $(Qq_n)' \cap (Qq_n)^\omega \subset (Qq_n)' \cap (q_nMq_n)^\omega$. Thus, we have $(Qq_n)' \cap (Qq_n)^\omega = \mathbf{C}q_n$ and so Qq_n is a full nonamenable factor by Proposition 2.6. This finishes the proof of Corollary B. □

Proof of Corollary C. Let M_1 be any diffuse amenable von Neumann algebra with separable predual. Choose a faithful normal state φ_1 on M_1 such that the centralizer $M_1^{\varphi_1}$ is diffuse (see Proposition 2.2). Define $M_2 = R_\infty$ to be the unique hyperfinite type III_1 factor endowed with any faithful normal state φ_2. Then by [Ue11, Theorem 3.4], the free product $(M, \varphi) = (M_1, \varphi_1) * (M_2, \varphi_2)$ is a full nonamenable type III_1 factor. Moreover $M_1 \subset M$ is with expectation.

Let $M_1 \subset Q \subset M$ be any intermediate amenable von Neumann algebra with expectation. By Corollary B, we obtain that $M_1 = Q$. □

4.2. **Proof of Theorem D.** We recall Popa's intertwining-by-bimodules theory that will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem D. Let M be a tracial von Neumann algebra together with $A \subset 1_AM1_A$ and $B \subset 1_BM1_B$ von Neumann subalgebras. Following [Po01, Po03], we say that *A embeds into B inside M* and denote by $A \preceq_M B$ if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- There exist projections $p \in A$ and $q \in B$, a nonzero partial isometry $v \in pMq$ and a unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : pAp \to qBq$ such that $av = v\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in pAp$.
- There exist $\ell \geq 1$, a projection $q \in \mathbf{M}_\ell(B)$, a nonzero partial isometry $v \in \mathbf{M}_{1,\ell}(1_AM)q$ and a unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : A \to q\mathbf{M}_\ell(B)q$ such that $av = v\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in A$.
- There is no net of unitaries $(w_i)_{i\in I}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $E_B(x^*w_iy) \to 0$ $*$-strongly as $i \to \infty$ for all $x, y \in pMq$.

We first prove the following intermediate result which can be regarded as a generalization of Theorem A in the case of tracial free product von Neumann algebras.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M_1, τ_1) and (M_2, τ_2) be von Neumann algebras with separable predual endowed with faithful normal tracial states. Assume that M_1 is diffuse. Denote by $(M, \tau) = (M_1, \tau_1) * (M_2, \tau_2)$ the tracial free product von Neumann algebra.*

For every von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset M$ such that $Q \cap M_1$ and $Q' \cap M^\omega$ are diffuse, we have $Q \subset M_1$.

Proof. Let $Q \subset M$ be any von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q \cap M_1$ and $Q' \cap M^\omega$ are diffuse. By [IPP05, Theorem 1.1], we have $Q' \cap M \subset M_1$. Denote by $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)$ the maximum projection such that $Qz \subset zM_1z$. We prove that $z = 1$. Assume by contradiction that this not the case and put $q = z^\perp = 1 - z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M) \subset M_1$. We have $q \neq 0$.

First, assume that Qq is amenable. Choose a diffuse abelian subalgebra $A \subset q^\perp M_1 q^\perp$ and put $\mathcal{Q} = Qq \oplus A$. Then $\mathcal{Q}$ is amenable and $\mathcal{Q} \cap M_1$ is diffuse. Theorem 3.1 implies that the inclusion $M_1 \subset M$ has the asymptotic orthogonality property relative to the diffuse subalgebra $\mathcal{Q} \cap M_1$ in the sense of [Ho12b, Definition 5.1]. Since the inclusion $M_1 \subset M$ is mixing (see e.g. [Ho12b, Proposition 4.7]) in the sense of [Ho12b, Definition 4.4], we have that the inclusion $M_1 \subset M$ is weakly mixing through the diffuse subalgebra $\mathcal{Q} \cap M_1$ in the sense of [Ho12b, Definition 4.1]. Therefore [Ho12b, Theorem 8.1] implies that $\mathcal{Q} \subset M_1$ and so $Qq \subset qM_1q$. This contradicts the fact that z is the maximum projection in $\mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)$ such that $Qz \subset zM_1z$.

Second, assume that Qq is not amenable. Let $q_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)q$ be a nonzero central projection such that Qqq_0 has no amenable direct summand. Since $(Qqq_0)' \cap (qq_0Mqq_0)^\omega = qq_0(Q' \cap M^\omega)qq_0$ is diffuse and since the inclusion $M_1 \subset M$ is mixing, by [Pe06, Theorems 4.3, 4.5 and Lemma 5.1] and [IPP05, Theorem 4.3] (see also [Ho07, Theorem 5.6] and [Io12, Theorem 6.3]), we obtain that $Qqq_0 \preceq_M M_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. This implies that $Qq \preceq_M M_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

There exist $\ell \geq 1$, a projection $p \in \mathbf{M}_\ell(M_i)$, a nonzero partial isometry $v \in \mathbf{M}_{1,\ell}(qM)p$ and a unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : Qq \to p\mathbf{M}_\ell(M_i)p$ such that $av = v\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in Qq$. Write $v = [v_1 \cdots v_\ell] \in \mathbf{M}_{1,\ell}(qM)p$. In particular, we have $Qv_j \subset \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} v_k M_i$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and so $(Q \cap M_1)v_j \subset \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} v_k M_i$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Since $Q \cap M_1$ is diffuse, by [IPP05, Theorem 1.1], we obtain that $i = 1$ and that $v_j \in M_1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Therefore $vv^* \in (Qq)' \cap qM_1q$ is a nonzero projection such that $Qvv^* \subset vv^*M_1vv^*$. If we denote by z_0 the central support of vv^* in $(Qq)' \cap qM_1q$, we have that $z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)q$, $z_0 \neq 0$ and $Qz_0 \subset z_0M_1z_0$. This contradicts again the fact that z is the maximum projection in $\mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)$ such that $Qz \subset zM_1z$.

Consequently, we obtain that $z = 1$ and so $Q \subset M_1$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

Proof of Theorem D. The proof is similar to the one of Corollary B. Let $Q \subset M$ be any von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q \cap M_1$ is diffuse. By [Io12, Lemma 2.7], there exists a central projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M) \cap \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M^\omega) \subset M_1$ such that $(Q' \cap M^\omega)z$ is diffuse and $(Q' \cap M^\omega)z = (Q' \cap M)z$ is discrete. Choose a diffuse abelian subalgebra $A \subset z^\perp M_1 z^\perp$ and put $\mathcal{Q} = Qz \oplus A$. We have that $\mathcal{Q} \cap M_1$ and $\mathcal{Q}' \cap M^\omega$ are diffuse. By Theorem 4.1, we obtain $\mathcal{Q} \subset M_1$ and hence $Qz \subset zM_1z$. This finishes the proof of Theorem D. □

References

[AH12] H. Ando, U. Haagerup, *Ultraproducts of von Neumann algebras.* J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 6842–6913.

[Ba93] L. Barnett, *Free product von Neumann algebras of type* III. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 543–553.

[BC13] R. Boutonnet, A. Carderi, *Maximal amenable subalgebras of von Neumann algebras associated with hyperbolic groups.* arXiv:1310.5864

[Br12] A. Brothier, *The cup subalgebra of a* II_1 *factor given by a subfactor planar algebra is maximal amenable.* Pacific J. Math., to appear. arXiv:1210.8091

[CFRW08] J. Cameron, J. Fang, M. Ravichandran, S. White, *The radial masa in a free group factor is maximal injective.* J. Lond. Math. Soc. **82** (2010), 787–809.

[Co72] A. Connes, *Une classification des facteurs de type* III. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **6** (1973), 133–252.

[Co74] A. Connes, *Almost periodic states and factors of type* III_1. J. Funct. Anal. **16** (1974), 415–445.

[Co75a] A. Connes, *Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **8** (1975), 383–419.

[Co75b] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors.* Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 73–115.

[Co85] A. Connes, *Factors of type* III_1*, property* L'_λ *and closure of inner automorphisms.* J. Operator Theory **14** (1985), 189–211.

[Dy92] K. Dykema, *Factoriality and Connes' invariant* $T(\mathcal{M})$ *for free products of von Neumann algebras.* J. reine angew. Math. **450** (1994), 159–180.

[Fa06] J. Fang, *On maximal injective subalgebras of tensor products of von Neumann algebras.* J. Funct. Anal. **244** (2007), 277–288.

[Ga09] M. Gao, *On maximal injective subalgebras.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 2065–2070.

[Ge95] L. Ge, *On maximal injective subalgebras of factors.* Adv. Math. **118** (1996), 34–70.

[Ha84] U. Haagerup, *Connes' bicentralizer problem and uniqueness of the injective factor of type* III_1. Acta Math. **158** (1987), 95–148.

[Ho07] C. Houdayer, *Construction of type* II_1 *factors with prescribed countable fundamental group.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **634** (2009), 169–207.

[Ho12a] C. Houdayer, *A class of* II_1 *factors with an exotic abelian maximal amenable subalgebra.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 3693–3707.

[Ho12b] C. Houdayer, *Structure of* II_1 *factors arising from free Bogoljubov actions of arbitrary groups.* Adv. Math. **260** (2014), 414–457.

[HS90] U. Haagerup, E. Størmer, *Equivalence of normal states on von Neumann algebras and the flow of weights.* Adv. Math. **83** (1990), 180–262.

[Io12] A. Ioana, *Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product* II_1 *factors.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., to appear. arXiv:1207.0054

[IPP05] A. Ioana, J. Peterson, S. Popa, *Amalgamated free products of w-rigid factors and calculation of their symmetry groups.* Acta Math. **200** (2008), 85–153.

[Jo10] P. Jolissaint, *Maximal injective and mixing masas in group factors.* arXiv:1004.0128

[MvN43] F. Murray, J. von Neumann, *Rings of operators.* IV. Ann. of Math. **44** (1943), 716–808.

[Oc85] A. Ocneanu, *Actions of discrete amenable groups on von Neumann algebras.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1138**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. iv+115 pp.

[Pe06] J. Peterson, L^2*-rigidity in von Neumann algebras.* Invent. Math. **175** (2009), 417–433.

[Po83] S. Popa, *Maximal injective subalgebras in factors associated with free groups.* Adv. Math. **50** (1983), 27–48.

[Po01] S. Popa, *On a class of type* II_1 *factors with Betti numbers invariants.* Ann. of Math. **163** (2006), 809–899.

[Po03] S. Popa, *Strong rigidity of* II_1 *factors arising from malleable actions of w-rigid groups* I *and* II. Invent. Math. **165** (2006), 369–408, 409–451.

[Po13] S. Popa, *A* II_1 *factor approach to the Kadison-Singer problem.* Comm. Math. Phys. **332** (2014), 379–414.

[Sh05] J. Shen, *Maximal injective subalgebras of tensor products of free group factors.* J. Funct. Anal. **240** (2006), 334–348.

[Ta03] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras.* II. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **125**. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 6. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. xxii+518 pp.

[Ue98] Y. Ueda, *Amalgamated free products over Cartan subalgebra.* Pacific J. Math. **191** (1999), 359–392.

[Ue11] Y. Ueda, *Factoriality, type classification and fullness for free product von Neumann algebras.* Adv. Math. **228** (2011), 2647–2671.

[Vo85] D.-V. Voiculescu, *Symmetries of some reduced free product* C^**-algebras.* Operator algebras and Their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1132**. Springer-Verlag, (1985), 556–588.

[Vo92] D.-V. Voiculescu, K.J. Dykema, A. Nica, *Free random variables.* CRM Monograph Series **1**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992.

CNRS - Université Paris-Est - Marne-la-Vallée, LAMA UMR 8050, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée cedex 2, France

E-mail address: cyril.houdayer@u-pem.fr